

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/694,575	10/24/2000	Kazufumi Ogawa	356972000203	9323	
25227 75	90 04/17/2003				
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP ` 1650 TYSONS BOULEVARD SUITE 300			EXAMINER		
			CAMERON, ERMA C		
MCLEAN, VA	22102		10.7 (D. 10.		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1762		
			DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	DATE MAILED: 04/17/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	_			وشخ			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		09/694,575	OGAWA ET AL.				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
•		Erma C. Cameron	1762				
The MAILING D Period for Reply	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover she t with the correspendence address Period for Reply						
THE MAILING DATE (- Extensions of time may be a after SIX (6) MONTHS from - If the period for reply specific - If NO period for reply sis specific - Exilting to reply within the set	t or extended period for reply will, by statute fice later than three months after the mailing	36(a). In no event, however, may a y within the statutory minimum of th vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MC . cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.			
	communication(s) filed on ame	endment filed 1/22/2003	·				
2a)⊠ This action is F	_	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
	is/are pending in the application						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-45</u> is/are rejected.							
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) Application Papers	are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.	•				
	s is objected to by the Examine	uf					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C.	§§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgme	nt is made of a claim for foreig	n priority under 35 U.S.C	. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).				
	me * c) None of:						
1.☐ Certified	copies of the priority documen	s have been received.					
2.⊠ Certified	copies of the priority documen	ls have been received in	Application No. <u>07/774,827</u> .				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
l '				tion).			
a) ☐ The transla 15)⊠ Acknowledgmen	tion of the foreign language protismade of a claim for domes	ovisional application has tic priority under 35 U.S.	been received. C. §§ 120 and/or 121.				
Attachment(s)		—					
	ed (PTO-892) Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) tatement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice	w Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	.· 			

Application/Control Number: 09/694,575

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

2. Claims 12-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The evidence provided for 09/112219, of which this application is a continuation, in the Declaration under Rule 132 by Kazufumi Ogawa and the Declaration under Rule 132 by Midori Takano demonstrates that by coating a glass substrate having an indium-tin oxide alloy film with the product formed by dissolving 1 weight percent Cl-(SiCl₂O₂)₂-SiCl₃ in chloroform solvent, a silicon oxide film is formed on the glass substrate. It is noted, however, that the present specification teaches that contacting a substrate with a solvent comprising a material comprising chlorosilyl groups, such as Cl-(SiCl₂O₂)₂-SiCl₃ results in a siloxane film, rather than a silicon oxide film, on the substrate.

In view of the evidence discussed above, it appears that the present specification does not teach how to make a siloxane film on a substrate as presently claimed.

The applicant has failed to clarify this issue in the January 22, 2003 response. The Ogawa declaration of April 1, 2002 states on page 3, under "Analytical Results", that the

Application/Control Number: 09/694,575

Art Unit: 1762

"siloxane polymer coating film of 09/112219 (Example 1) is identical to a "silica film" formed by sputtering. The applicant states in the January 22, 2003 response that "...the "silica" of the PPG patent and the siloxane film of this invention are silicon oxide films have substantially identical compositions." (page 2) This is not helpful in distinguishing between siloxane and silica. A siloxane is expected to bond to a surface having hydroxyl groups through oxygen atoms, whereas a silica by definition is Si O₂, and has no open valences for bonding.

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claim 37 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- a) Claim 37: "number of a group" does not make sense.

This was not addressed in the January 22, 2003 response.

Conclusion

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/694,575

Art Unit: 1762

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Erma C. Cameron whose telephone number is 703-308-2330. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30-6:00, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive Beck can be reached on 703-308-2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-305-7718 for regular communications and 703-872-9311 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

ERMA CAMERON PRIMARY EXAMINER Erma C. Cameron Primary Examiner Art Unit 1762

February 28, 2003